

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/708,498 11/09/2000		Katsunori Kawano	100390.01	4183		
25944	7590	02/10/2003				
	BERRIDGE,	PLC	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320				ANGEBRANNDT, MARTIN J		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1756	14	
				DATE MAILED: 02/10/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		A \$					
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summan	09/708,498	KAWANO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Martin J Angebranndt	1756					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 €	October 2002 and 22 November 2	2002					
	is action is non-final.						
,		rosecution as to the merits is					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)	10 priority under 00 0.0.0. 33 120						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/708,498 Page 2

Art Unit: 1756

1. The response of the applicant has been read and given careful consideration. Rejections of the previous office action not repeated below are withdrawn in view of the amendments to the claims. The PTO 1449 was discussed in the advisory action.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1,2 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 03-075789.

JP 03-075789 teaches two different methods for writing information holographically in figures 6 and 7. In figure 7, an optically based spatial light modulator (29') is used together with a polarizing beam splitter prism (32) so that only the light reflected by the SLM (29') and reflected by the polarizing beam splitter (32) to the holographic recording medium (3) is only in one polarization. In figure 6, a spatial light modulator is used, but it is not clear if element (29) uses changes in polarization to modulate the beam.

The examiner adopts that position that to be analogous in function to the embodiment of figure 7, the SLM (29) of figure 6 shown in the process of use must be a polarization SLM and the embodiment of figure 6 renders the claims anticipated.

5. Claims 1,2 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 03-075789, in view of JP 03-149660 (cited in advisory action communication) and Ono JP 09-269719.

Ono JP 09-269719 describes the embodiment of figure 3, where two different holograms silmultaneously where the reference beams are orthogonally polarized. [0019-0021]. In the case of the silmultaneous recording a reference beam in each polarization is present for each of the object beams and four holograms are recorded. Two are the conventional holograms corresponding to the intensity modulated holograms of the instant specification and two polarization holograms observable only with polarizing means.

JP 03-149660 teaches the reading of the holographic data using polarization measurement, where the light polarized in a single direction by element 22 is modulated by a polarizing spatial light modulator (18). The readout means of figure 1 is similar to that of figure 1 of JP 03-075789.

If it is determined that the SLM (29) of figure 6 does not modulate the polarization, the examiner holds that position that it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the process of JP 03-075789 to record images using a polarization SLM as taught by JP 03-149660 in place of the SLM (29) in the process of using the apparatus of figure 6 to silmultaneously record areas of an intensity hologram and areas being a polarization hologram

Art Unit: 1756

based upon the direction within JP 03-075789, in view of JP 03-149660 and Ono JP 09-269719 to use polarization measurement in reading and/or recording holograms.

The examiner notes that in the case of JP 03-075789 and Ono JP 09-269719, only one reference beam is used. The examiner notes that when the object beam is modulated with a polarization SLM, the angles between the beams are somewhat fixed/limited, reducing the range of angular multiplexing achievable.

6. Claims 1,2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 03-075789, JP 03-149660 and Ono JP 09-269719, in view of Todorov, L., et al., Polarization Holography. 2: Polarization holographic gratings in photoanisotropic materials with and without intrinsic birefringence", Appl. Opt., Vol. 23(24) pp. 4588-4591 (12/1984).

Todorov, L., et al., Polarization Holography. 2: Polarization holographic gratings in photoanisotropic materials with and without intrinsic birefringence", Appl. Opt., Vol. 23(24) pp. 4588-4591 (12/1984) exemplifies the recording of polarization holograms using Methyl Orange (4[[(4-dimethylamino)phenyl]azo]benzene sulfonate) with polyvinyl alcohol as the recording medium and polarized argon ion laser beams. This includes the recording of conventional holograms where the linear polarizations of the recording light are parallel or orthogonal as shown in figure 1 and table 1.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the process of JP 03-075789 combined with JP 03-149660 and Ono JP 09-269719 by using the holographic recording materials of Todorov, L., et al., Polarization Holography. 2: Polarization holographic gratings in photoanisotropic materials with and without intrinsic birefringence", Appl. Opt., Vol. 23(24) pp. 4588-4591 (12/1984) based upon the disclosure that the materials of Todorov, L., et al.,

Application/Control Number: 09/708,498

Art Unit: 1756

Polarization Holography. 2: Polarization holographic gratings in photoanisotropic materials with and without intrinsic birefringence", Appl. Opt., Vol. 23(24) pp. 4588-4591 (12/1984) are amenable to polarization holographic recording and the lack of materials disclosed in JP 03-075789, JP 03-149660 and Ono JP 09-269719 with a reasonable expectation of forming the desired holograms.

7 Claims 1,2,4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 03-075789, JP 03-149660 and Ono JP 09-269719, in view of Savant et al. '221.

Savant et al. describes polyethylene vinyl alcohol grafted with polyamide with various azo dyes dispered therein in examples XIII-XX. The structure of these azobenzene dyes are shown in columns 9-18. The use of disks as the substrate are disclosed. (8/4-14 and 26/6-23 and examples described at 24/9-19). The recording of holograms including polarization multiplexing is disclosed. (25/46-26/5).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the process of JP 03-075789 combined with JP 03-149660 and Ono JP 09-269719 by using the holographic recording materials of Savant et al. '221 based upon the disclosure that the materials of Savant et al. '221 are amenable to polarization holographic recording and the lack of materials disclosed in JP 03-075789, JP 03-149660 and Ono JP 09-269719 with a reasonable expectation of forming the desired holograms.

8 Claims 1-3, 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either JP 03-075789, JP 03-149660 and Ono JP 09-269719, in view of Natansohn et al. '381.

Natansohn et al. '381 describes polyesters with pendant azobenzenes. (4/40-5/64). The section entitled "Optical Image Recording" in column 7 evidenced the recording of polarization

holograms in media containing both a polymer and a polymer containing an azobenzene structure. (7/38-8/12). The use of polyesters as the backbone is disclosed. (4/41-5/63).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the process of JP 03-075789 combined with JP 03-149660 and Ono JP 09-269719 by using the holographic recording materials of Natansohn et al. '381 based upon the disclosure that the materials of Natansohn et al. '381 are amenable to polarization holographic recording and the lack of materials disclosed in JP 03-075789, JP 03-149660 and Ono JP 09-269719 with a reasonable expectation of forming the desired holograms.

9 Claims 1-3 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 03-075789, JP 03-149660 and Ono JP 09-269719, in view of Eich et al. '784.

Eich et al. '784 discloses a mixture of isomerizable compounds (6/24-54). Polymeric liquid crystals including polyesters are disclosed, (7/27-9/34). The recording of information using an argon ion laser and the use of two polarized laser beams is disclosed. (14/59-15/29).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the process of JP 03-075789 combined with JP 03-149660 and Ono JP 09-269719 by using the holographic recording materials of Eich et al. '784 based upon the disclosure that the materials of Eich et al. '784 are amenable to polarization holographic recording and the lack of materials disclosed in JP 03-075789, JP 03-149660 and Ono JP 09-269719 with a reasonable expectation of forming the desired holograms.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686

Application/Control Number: 09/708,498

Art Unit: 1756

* . .

F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-7 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-47 of U. S. Patent No. 6,452,890 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: Claims 15 and 37-43 recite the holographic medium capable of recording both polarization and intensity modulated holograms and claims 16-17 recite the article with a polarization hologram formed within. Claims 1-7 recite the use of a polarization SLM in recording holograms in a polarization sensitive holographic recording medium.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Martin J Angebranndt whose telephone number is 703-308-4397. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Thursday and alternate Fridays.

Application/Control Number: 09/708,498 Page 8

Art Unit: 1756

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 703-308-2464. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Martin Angebranndt Primary Examiner Art Unit 1756

February 6, 2003